not prepared to admit that it is a failure.

Sri C. J. MUCKANNAPPA.—If you compare these two sets of figures, will it not show that the N.E.S. block is a thorough failure, Sir ?

Sri H. C. LINGA REDDY.—No Sir.

Mr. DEPUTY SPEAKER.—It is a matter of inference.

ಶ್ರೀ ಜಿ. ಎಕ್. ಪುಟ್ಟಣ್ಣ.—ಇನ್ನು ಮೇಲೆ ಪ್ರತಿ ವರ್ಷವೂ ಈ ರೀತಿಯ confusionನ್ನು ನಿವಾರಣೆ ಮಾಡುವ ದೃಷ್ಟಿಯಿಂದ ಯಾವಯಾವ N.E.S. ಬ್ಲಾಕಿನಲ್ಲಿ ಎಷ್ಟೆಷ್ಟು ಖರ್ಚಾಗಿದೆ, ಅದರಲ್ಲಿ ಸಿಬ್ಬಂದಿಗಾಗಿ ಎಷ್ಟು, ಮಿಕ್ಕ ವಿಷಯಗಳಿಗಾಗಿ ಎಷ್ಟು ಎಂದು ಹೇಳಿ ಒಂದು statementನ್ನು ಕೊಡುತ್ತೀರಾ ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಚ್. ಸಿ. ಲಿಂಗಾರೆಡ್ಡಿ.—ಕೊಡುತ್ತೇವೆ ; ಕೊಡುತ್ತಲೂ ಇದ್ದೇವೆ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಜಿ. ಎಕ್. ಪುಟ್ಟಣ್ಣ.—ಕೊಡುವುದೆಂದರೆ, ಏನೇನೋ ವಿಷಯಗಳನ್ನು ಫಸ್ಟ್‌ಕ್ಲಾಸ್ ಇಂಗ್ಲೀಷ್ ನಲ್ಲಿ ಬರೆಸಿಕೊಟ್ಟಿದ್ದೇವೆಂಬುದಲ್ಲ. ನಿಖರವಾಗಿ ಅಂಶಗಳನ್ನು ಇಡಬೇಕು. ಎಷ್ಟು ಹಣ ಮಂಜೂರಾಗಿತ್ತು, ಸಿಬ್ಬಂದಿಗಾಗಿ ಎಷ್ಟಾಯಿತು, ಬೇರೆ ಪ್ರತಿಯೊಂದು ಬಾಬುಗಳಿಗೆ ಎಷ್ಟೆಷ್ಟಾಯಿತು, ಪೂರ್ತಿಯಾದ ಕೆಲಸಗಳು ಯಾವುವು, ಅಪೂರ್ಣವಾಗಿರುವುವು ಯಾವುವು ಎಂಬುದನ್ನೆಲ್ಲಾ ತಿಳಿಸಬೇಕು.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಚ್. ಸಿ. ಲಿಂಗಾರೆಡ್ಡಿ.—ಫಸ್ಟ್‌ಕ್ಲಾಸ್ ಇಂಗ್ಲೀಷಿನಲ್ಲಿ ಕೊಟ್ಟುಬಿಡುತ್ತಾರೆಂದು ಹೇಳಿದಿರಿ. ಕನ್ನಡದಲ್ಲೂ ಕೊಡುತ್ತೇವೆ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಜಿ. ಎಕ್. ಪುಟ್ಟಣ್ಣ.—ಕನ್ನಡ, ಇಂಗ್ಲಿಷ್, ತಮಿಳು ಯಾವುದರಲ್ಲಾದರೂ ಕೊಡಿ. ಮುಖ್ಯವಾಗಿ ವಿವರಗಳನ್ನು ತಿಳಿಸುತ್ತೀರಾ ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಚ್. ಸಿ. ಲಿಂಗಾರೆಡ್ಡಿ.—ತಿಳಿಸುತ್ತೇವೆ. In fact Hon'ble Members are *ex-officio* members of the Block Development Committees and they will have opportunities to review the work and also participate in the discussion and watch the progress of the works. We will furnish all the details.

Assessment of rent to the residential portion of the building occupied by the Deputy Excise Commissioner, Gulbarga.

Q.—2492. Sri BALWANT RAO (Bhalki).—

Will the Government be pleased to state :—

(*a*) whether they are aware that the residential portion of the office building occupied by the Deputy Excise Commissioner of Gulbarga fetches a higher rent than the present nominal rent of Rs. 29 assessed by the P.W.D. of Gulbarga ;

(*b*) if so, the reasons for this low assessment and action taken by the Government in this regard ?

A.—Sri N. RACHIAH (Minister for Social Welfare, Excise and Prohibition).—

(*a*) The rent of the residential portion occupied by the Deputy Excise Commissioner has been assessed by the P.W.D. under the rules.

(*b*) Does not arise in view of the answer to question (*a*).

Sri BALWANT RAO.—May I know the amount assessed for the whole building occupied by the officer as well as the office ?

*Sri N. RACHIAH.—This was the amount assessed by the Hyderabad Government and the portion which was actually occupied by the Excise Departmental officer was assessed as Rs. 29.

Sri BALWANT RAO.—I want the whole amount assessed for the whole building—I want the total assessment.

Sri N. RACHIAH.—We are not concerned with the total assessment. We are only concerned with the portion of the building occupied by the Excise Department.

Sri BALWANT RAO.—Is it not a fact, Sir, that the Excise Department is occupying a larger portion of the building, Sir ?

Sri N. RACHIAH.—No, Sir.

Construction of Road from Raichur to Singnodi under Scarcity Works.

Q.—2551. Sri G. BHIMANNA (Raichur).—

Will the Government be pleased to state :—

(*a*) whether it is a fact that only a Seven-mile long road has been constructed from Raichur to Singnodi under the Famine Relief Works Scheme ;

(*b*) if so, whether necessary sanction has been accorded for the completion of the remaining portion thereof ?

A.—Sri H. M. CHANNABASAPPA (Minister for Public Works and Electricity).—